## REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Reconsideration of this patent application is respectfully requested in view of the foregoing amendments, and the following remarks. Claims 1 and 5-18 are in the application. Claims 1, 7-11 and 14-16 have been amended. No new matter has been added.

The Examiner rejected claims 1 and 5-18 under 35 U.S.C. §112 for being indefinite. Applicants have amended claim 1 to claim that the layers are in the struts.

Claims 1, 5, 7, 8, 12 and 14-16 are rejected under 35

U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Weber et al. U.S. Patent

Application Publication No. 2004/0230290 Al. Claims 1 and 5-16

are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by

Melzer et al. U.S. Patent No. 6,280,385. Claims 1, 5-6 and 14-17

are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Alt

et al. U.S. Patent No. 6,767,360. Claims 1, 5-6 and 14-15 are

rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Weber et

al. U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0158310 Al.

Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable

over Alt et al. in view of Unger U.S. Patent No. 6,884,407.

Applicants respectfully traverse.

The Examiner stated that amending the claims to claim that the struts comprise the two layers and that the interruptions are in the two layers of the struts would overcome the rejections based on Alt and Meltzer. The Examiner also stated that amending the claims to claim that the interruptions are in conductive layers of the multiple layers would overcome the rejection based on Weber '310.

Applicants have amended claim 1 to claim that the struts comprise the two layers and that the interruptions are in conductive layers of the two layers of the struts. Claim 1 has also been amended to recite that the structure consists of metallic layers that are glued together. Support for this amendment can be found in the specification on page 5, line 7 ff.

None of the cited references teach or suggest metallic layers that are glued together. In addition, as stated by the Examiner, neither Alt nor Meltzer disclose that the struts comprise two layers and that the interruptions are in the two layers of the struts. Weber '310 does not disclose that the interruptions are in conductive layers.

Accordingly, Applicants submit that claims 1 and 5-18 are patentable over the cited references. Early allowance of the amended claims is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Dietrich H. W. GROENEMEYER ET AL.

COLLARD & ROE, P.C. 1077 Northern Boulevard Roslyn, New York 11576 (516) 365-9802 Elizabeth C. Richter, Reg. No. 35,103

Attorney for Applicants

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on June 11, 2010.

Melissa Konko

R:\Patents\G\GRONEMEYER ET AL-2 PCT\Amendment third on.wpd